



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

Feminist Methods and Methodology

- Abu-Lughod, L. (1990). Can there be a feminist ethnography? *Woman and Performance: A Journal of Feminist Theory*, 5 (1), 7-27.
- Acker, J., Barry, K., & Esseveld, J. (1991). Objectivity and truth: Problems in doing feminist research. In M. M. Fonow, & J. A. Cook. (Eds.), *Beyond Methodology: Feminist Scholarship as Lived Research* (pp. 133-153). Indiana University Press.
- Ackerly, B. A., & True, J. (2010). *Doing feminist research in political and social science*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anderson, K., Armitage, S., Jack, D., & Wittner, J. (1990). Beginning where we are: Feminist methodology in oral history. In J. M. Neilsen. (Ed.) *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences* (pp. 94-112). Westview Press.
- Bailey, L. E. (2012). Feminist research. In S. D. Lapan, M. T. Quartaroli, F. J. Riemer, S. D. Lapan, M. T. Quartaroli, F. J. Riemer (Eds.), *Qualitative research: An introduction to methods and designs* (pp. 391-422). Jossey-Bass.
- Barnsley, J. (2016). Grounded theology: Adopting and adapting qualitative research methods for feminist theological enquiry. *Feminist Theology: The Journal of the Britain & Ireland School of Feminist Theology*, 24(2), 109-124.
- Barone-Chapman, M. (2013). Review of feminist research methodology: Making meanings of meaning-making. *Feminism & Psychology*, 23(2), 274-278.
doi:10.1177/0959353512472476
- Barrett, M. (1997). Words and things: Materialism and method in contemporary feminist analysis. In S. Kemp, & J. Squires (Eds.), *Feminisms* (pp.112-120). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Behar, R. (1996). *The vulnerable observer: Anthropology that breaks your heart*. Beacon Press.
- Beoku-Betts, J. (1994). When Black is not enough: Doing field research among Gullah women. *NWSA Journal*, 6(3), 413-433.
- Behar, R., & Gordon, D. A. (Eds.). (1995). *Women writing culture*. University of California Press.
- Bell, D., Caplan, P., & Wazir, J. K. (Eds.) (1993). *Gendered fields: Women, men, and ethnography*. Routledge.
- Belsey, C. (1996). Constructing the subject: Deconstructing the text. In R. R. Warhol, & D. P. Herndl (Eds.), *Feminisms: An anthology of literary theory and criticism* (pp. 657-673). Rutgers University Press.
- Blakely, K. (2007). Reflections on the role of emotion in feminist research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 6(2), 59-68.
- Bloom, L. R. (1998). *Under the sign of hope: Feminist methodology and narrative interpretation*. State University of New York Press.
- Bordo, S. (1986). The Cartesian masculinization of thought. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*. 11(3), 439-456.
- Britzman, D. P. (1995). "The question of belief": Writing poststructural ethnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 8(3), 229-238.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Buikema, R., Griffin, G., & Lykke, N. (2011). *Theories and methodologies in postgraduate feminist research: researching differently*. Routledge.
- Cancian, F. M. (1992). Feminist science: Methodologies that challenge inequality. *Gender and Society* 6(4), 623-642.
- Clough, P. T. (1993). On the brink of deconstructing sociology: Critical reading of Dorothy Smith's standpoint epistemology. *Sociological Quarterly*, 34(1), 169-182.
- Clough, P. T. (1998). *The end(s) of ethnography: From realism to social criticism (2nd ed.)*. Peter Lang.
- Coddington, K. (2017). Voice under scrutiny: Feminist methods, anticolonial responses, and new methodological tools. *Professional Geographer*, 69(2), 314-320.
doi:10.1080/00330124.2016.1208512
- Collins, P. H. (1990). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment*. Routledge.
- Collins, P. H. (1991). Learning from the outsider within: The sociological significance of Black feminist thought. In M. M. Fonow, & J. A. Cook (Eds.), *Beyond methodology: Feminist scholarship as lived research* (pp. 35-59). Indiana University Press.
- Cook, J. A., & Fonow, M. M. (1990). Knowledge and women's interests: Issues of epistemology and methodology in feminist sociological research. In J. M. Nielsen (Ed.), *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences* (pp. 69-93). Westview Press.
- Cotterill, P. (1992). Interviewing women: Issues of friendship, vulnerability, and power. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 15(5/6), 593-606.
- Cvetkovich, A. (2003). Legacies of trauma, legacies of activism. In D. L. Eng, & D. Kazanjian (Eds.), *Loss: The politics of mourning* (pp. 427-457). University of California Press.
- Davies, B., & Gannon, S. (2006). *Doing collective biography: investigating the production of subjectivity*. Open University Press.
- Davis, D. A., & Craven, C. (2016). *Feminist ethnography: Thinking through methodologies, challenges, and possibilities*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- DeVault, M. L. (1999). What is feminist methodology? In *Liberating method: Feminism and social research* (pp. 21-25). Temple University Press.
- DeVault, M. L., & Gross, G. (2007). Feminist interviewing: Experience, talk, and knowledge. In S. N. Hesse-Biber (Ed.), *Handbook of feminist research: Theory and praxis* (pp. 173-198). SAGE Publications.
- Du Bois, B. (1983). Passionate scholarship: Notes on values, knowing and method in feminist social science (pp. 105-116). In G. Bowles, & R. D. Klein (Eds.), *Theories of women's studies*. Routledge.
- Edwards, R. (1990). Connecting method and epistemology: A white woman interviewing black women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 13(5), 477-490.
- Farran, D. (1990). "Seeking Susan": Producing statistical information on young people's leisure. In L. Stanley (Ed.), *Feminist praxis: Research theory, and epistemology in feminist sociology*, (pp. 91-102). Routledge.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Farnham, C. (Ed.). (1987). *The impact of feminist research in the academy*. Indiana University Press.
- Faulkner, S. L. (2020). Trigger warning: Poetry as feminist response to media headlines. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 1077800420917408.
- Fernandez, L. (1999). Reading “India’s Bandit Queen”: A transnational feminist perspective on the discrepancies of representation. *Signs*, 25(1), 123-152.
- Fine, M. (1992). *Disruptive voices: The possibilities of feminist research*. The University of Michigan Press.
- Fine, M., & Gordon, S. M. (1989). Feminist transformations of/despite psychology. In *Gender and Thought: Psychological Perspectives* (pp. 146-174). Springer.
- Fivush, R., & Grysman, A. (2016). Gendered autobiographical memory: Feminist approaches to theory and method. In T. Roberts, N. Curtin, L. E. Duncan, L. M. Cortina, T. Roberts, N. Curtin, ... L. M. Cortina (Eds.), *Feminist perspectives on building a better psychological science of gender* (pp. 99-120). Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-32141-7_7
- Fonow, M. M., & Cook, J. A. (1990). Knowledge and women’s interests: Issues of epistemology and methodology in feminist sociological research. In J. M. Neilsen (Ed.) *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences* (pp. 69-93). Westview Press.
- Fonow, M. M., & Cook, J. A. (Eds.). (1991). *Beyond methodology: Feminist scholarship as lived research*. Indiana University Press.
- Fonow, M. M., & Cook, J. A. (2005). Feminist methodology: New applications in the academy and public policy. *Signs*, 30(4), 2211-2236.
- Fraser, H., & Michell, D. (2014). Feminist memory work in action: Method and practicalities. *Qualitative Social Work*, 14(3), 321-337.
- Fusco, C. (2001). *The bodies that were not ours: And other writings*. Routledge.
- Gorelick, S. (1991). Contradictions of feminist methodology. *Gender and Society*, 5(4), 459-477.
- Gluck, S. B., & Patai, D. (Eds.). (1991). *Women’s words: The feminist practice of oral history*. Routledge.
- Griffin, G. (Ed.). (2016). *Cross-cultural interviewing: Feminist experiences and reflections*. Routledge.
- Griffin, G. (2012). The compromised researcher: Issues in feminist research methodologies. *Sociologisk Forskning - Journal of The Swedish Sociological Association*, 49(4), 333
- Haraway, D. J. (1988). Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. *Feminist Studies*, 14(3), 575-599.
- Haraway, D. J. (1990). A manifesto for cyborgs: Science, technology, and socialist feminism in the 1980s. In Linda J. Nicholson (Ed.), *Feminism/Postmodernism* (pp. 190-233). Routledge.
- Haraway, D. (1991). A cyborg manifesto: Science, technology, and socialist-feminism in the late twentieth century. In *Simians, cyborgs, and women: The reinvention of nature* (pp. 149-181). Routledge.
- Harding, S. (1986). *The science question in feminism*. Cornell University Press.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Harding, S. (Ed.). (1987). *Feminism and methodology: Social science issues*. Indiana University Press.
- Harding, S. (1991). *Whose science? Whose knowledge? Thinking from women's lives*. Cornell University Press.
- Harding, S. (1992). Rethinking standpoint epistemology: What is 'strong objectivity'? *Centennial Review*, 36(3), 437-470.
- Harding, S. (1993). *The racial economy of science: Toward a democratic future*. Indiana University Press.
- Harding, S. & Hintikka, M. B. (Eds.). (1983). *Discovering reality: Feminist perspectives on epistemology, metaphysics, methodology and philosophy of science* (pp. 283-310). D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- Hartsock, N. C. M. (1983). The feminist standpoint: Developing the ground for a specifically feminist historical materialism. In S. Harding, & M. B. Hintikka (Eds.), *Discovering reality: Feminist perspectives on epistemology, metaphysics, methodology and philosophy of science* (pp. 283-310). Reidel Publishing Company.
- Haug, F. (1999). *Female sexualization: A collective work of memory* (Vol. 25). Verso. (Original work published in 1983)
- Henry, C., Foss, L., & Ahl, H. (2016). Gender and entrepreneurship research: A review of methodological approaches. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 34(3), 217-241.
- Hesse-Biber, S. N. (Ed.). (2012). *Handbook of feminist research: Theory and praxis* (2nd ed.). SAGE.
- Hesse-Biber, S. (2012). Feminist approaches to triangulation: Uncovering subjugated knowledge and fostering social change in mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 137-146. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437184>
- Hesse-Biber, S., Gilmartin, C., & Lydenberg, R. (Eds.). (1999). *Feminist approaches to theory and methodology: An Interdisciplinary reader*. Oxford University Press.
- Hesse-Biber, S. N., & Leavy, P. L. (2007). *Feminist research practice: A primer*. SAGE Publications.
- Hiemstra, N. (2017). Periscoping as a feminist methodological approach for researching the seemingly hidden. *Professional Geographer*, 69(2), 329-336. doi:10.1080/00330124.2016.1208514
- Hodgins, B. D. (Ed.). (2019). *Feminist research for 21st-century childhoods: Common worlds methods*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Hordge-Freeman, E. (2018). "Bringing Your Whole Self to Research": The Power of the Researcher's Body, Emotions, and Identities in Ethnography. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 17(1), 1609406918808862. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406918808862>
- Jayaratne, T. (1983). The value of quantitative methodology for feminist research. In G. Bowles, & R. D. Klein (Eds.), *Theories of women's studies* (pp. 140-161). Routledge.
- Jefford, E., & Sundin, D. (2013). Post-structural feminist interpretive interactionism. *Nurse Researcher*, 21(1), 14.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Johasz, A. (1999). They said we were trying to show reality—all I want to show is my video: The politics of realist feminist documentary. In J. Gaines, & M. Renov (Eds.), *Collecting visible evidence* (pp. 190-215). University of Minnesota Press.
- Jowett, M., & O'Toole, G. (2006). Focusing researchers' minds: Contrasting experiences of using focus groups in feminist qualitative research. *Qualitative Research*, 6(4), 453-472.
- Junqueira, E. S. (2009). Feminist ethnography in education and the challenges of conducting fieldwork: Critically examining reciprocity and relationships between academic and public interests. [Opinion]. *Penn GSE Perspectives on Urban Education*, 6(1), 73-79.
- Keller, E. F. (1983). Gender and science. In S. Harding, & M. B. Hintikka (Eds.), *Discovering reality: Feminist perspectives on epistemology, metaphysics, methodology, and philosophy of science* (pp. 197-205). D. Reidel Publishing Co.
- Keller, E. F. (1985). *Reflections on gender and science*. Yale University Press.
- Kelly, L., Regan, L., & Burton, S. (1992). Defending the indefensible?: Quantitative methods and feminist research. In H. Hinds, A. Phoenix, & J. Stacy (Eds.). *Working out: New directions for women's studies* (pp. 149-160). Falmer Press.
- Kirsch, G. E. (1999). *Ethical dilemmas in feminist research: The politics of location, interpretation, and publication*. SUNY Press.
- Kleinman S., & Copp, M. A. (1993). *Emotions and fieldwork*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Krieger, S. (1991). *Social science and the self: Personal essays on an art form*. Rutgers University Press.
- Kremer, B. (1990). Learning to say no: Keeping feminist research for ourselves. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 13(5), 463-467.
- Lapsley, J. E. (2016). Introduction: Gender and method. *Hebrew Bible and Ancient Israel*, 5(2), 75-77. doi:10.1628/219222716X14683342142181
- Lather, P. (1991). *Getting smart: Feminist research and pedagogy with/in the postmodern*. Routledge.
- Lather, P. (1993). Fertile obsession: Validity after poststructuralism. *Sociological Quarterly*, 34(4), 673-693.
- Lather, P. (2007). *Getting lost: Feminist efforts toward a double(d) science*. SUNY Press.
- Letherby, G. (2003). *Feminist research in theory and practice*. Open University Press.
- Livholts, M. (Ed.). (2012). *Emergent writing methodologies in feminist studies*. Routledge.
- Lorde, A. (1981). The master's tools will never dismantle the master's house. In C. Moraga, & G. Anzaldua (Eds.), *This bridge called my back: Writings by racial women of color* (pp. 98-101). Persephone Press.
- Mack, P. (1986). Feminine behavior and radical action: Franciscans, Quakers, and the followers of Gandhi. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 11(3), 275-292.
- Macmillan, A. (2001). *Deconstructing social and cultural meanings: A model for educational research using postmodern constructs*. Australia: Common Ground Publishing.
- Maguire, P. (1987). *Doing participatory research: A feminist approach*. Center for International Education, University of Massachusetts.
- Mascia-Lees, F. E., Sharpe, P., & Cohen, C. B. (1989). The postmodernist turn in anthropology: Cautions from a feminist perspective. *Signs*, 15(1), 7-33.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- MacDonald, K. (2019). Toward a transnational feminist methodology of encounter. *Qualitative Research*, 1468794119847578.
- McCoy, K. (2012). Toward a Methodology of Encounters: Opening to Complexity in Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 18(9), 762-772.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800412453018>
- McHugh, M. C. (2014). Feminist qualitative research: Toward transformation of science and society. In P. Leavy. (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 137-164). Oxford University Press.
- Metta, M. (2010). *Writing against, alongside and beyond memory: Lifewriting as reflexive, poststructuralist feminist research practice*. Bern and New York: Peter Lang.
- Mies, M. (1983). Towards a methodology for feminist research. In G. Bowles, & R. D. Klein (Eds.), *Theories of women's studies* (pp. 117-139). Routledge.
- Naples, N. A. (2003). Epistemology, feminist methodology and the politics of method. In Naples, N. A. *Feminism and method: Ethnography, discourse analysis, and activist research* (pp. 13-33). Routledge.
- Nebraska Feminist Collective. (1983). A feminist ethic for social science research. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 6(5), 535-543.
- Neilsen, J. M. (1990). *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences*. Westview Press.
- Neilsen, J. M. (1990). Introduction. In *Feminist research methods: Exemplary readings in the social sciences* (pp. 1-37). Westview Press.
- Nicholson, L. (Ed.) (1997). *The second wave: A reader in feminist theory*. Routledge.
- Oakley, A. (1981). Interviewing women: A contradiction in terms. In H. Roberts (Ed.), *Doing feminist research* (p. 30-61). Routledge.
- Oakley, A. (1996). Gender, methodology and people's ways of knowing: Some problems with feminism and the paradigm debate in social science. *Sociology*, 32(4), 707-731.
- Oakley, A. (2006). Resistances to "new" technologies of evaluation: Education research in the UK as a case study. Evidence and policy: *A journal of research, debate and practice*, 2(1), 63-87.
- Oleson, V. (2018). Feminist qualitative research in the millenium's first decade: Developments, challenges, prospects. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 151-175). SAGE Publications.
- Olesen, V. (1994). Feminisms and models of qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 158-174). SAGE Publications.
- Ong, A. (2001). Colonialism and modernity: Feminist re-presentation of women in non-western societies. In B. Kum-Kum (Ed.), *Feminism and race* (pp. 108-118). Oxford University Press.
- Page, T. (2017). Vulnerable writing as a feminist methodological practice. *Feminist Review*, 115(1), 13-29.
- Pasque, P. A., & Nicholson, S. E. (Eds.). (2011). *Empowering women in higher education and student affairs: theory, research, narratives, and practice from feminist perspectives*. Stylus Pub.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Patai, D. (1988). Constructing a self: A Brazilian life story. In *Brazilian women speak: Contemporary life stories* (pp. 1-35). Rutgers University Press.
- Patai, D. (1991). U.S. academics and third world women: Is ethical research possible? In S. B. Gluck, & D. Patai (Eds.), *Women's words: The feminist practice of oral history* (pp. 137-153). Routledge.
- Personal Narratives Group. (1989). *Interpreting women's lives: Feminist theory and personal narratives*. Indiana University Press.
- Phoenix, A. (1994). Practising feminist research: The intersection of gender and 'race' in the research process. In M. Maynard, & J. Pervis (Eds.), *Researching women's lives from a feminist perspective* (pp. 49-71). Taylor and Francis.
- Pugh, A. (1990). My statistics and feminism: A true story. In L. Stanley (Ed.), *Feminist praxis: Research, theory and epistemology in feminist sociology* (pp. 103-112). Routledge.
- Reinharz, S. (1983). Methodological issues in feminist research: A bibliography of literature in women's studies, sociology, and psychology. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 6, 437-454.
- Reinharz, S. (1983). Experiential analysis: Contribution to feminist research. In G. Bowles, & R. D. Klein, (Eds.), *Theories of women's studies* (pp. 162-191). Routledge.
- Reinharz, S. (1984). *On becoming a social scientist: From survey research and participant observation to experiential analysis*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Reinharz, S. (1992). *Feminist methods in social research*. Oxford University Press.
- Reissman, C. K. (1987). When gender is not enough: Women interviewing women. *Gender and Society*, 1(2), 172-207.
- Ribbens, J. (1989). Interviewing: An "unnatural situation"? *Women's Studies International Forum*, 12(6), 579-592.
- Ribbens, J., & Edwards, R. (Eds.) (1998). *Feminist dilemmas in qualitative research: Public knowledge and private lives*. SAGE Publications.
- Roberts, H. (Ed.). (1981). *Doing feminist research*. Routledge.
- Rubin, G. (1997). The traffic in women: Notes on the "political economy of sex" In L. Nicholson (Ed.), *The second wave: A reader in feminist theory* (pp. 27-62). Routledge.
- Ryan-Flood, R., & Gill, R. (2010). *Secrecy and silence in the research process: feminist reflections*. Routledge.
- Schell, E. E., & Rawson, K. J. (Eds.). (2010). *Rhetorica in motion: feminist rhetorical methods & methodologies*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Scott, Joan W. (1992). Experience. In J. Butler, & J. W. Scott (Eds.), *Feminists theorize the political* (pp. 22-40). Routledge.
- Smith, D. E. (1987). *The everyday world as problematic: A feminist sociology*. Northeastern University Press.
- Smith, D. E. (1990). *Texts, facts, and femininity: Exploring the relations of ruling*. Routledge.
- Smith, D. E. (1990). *The conceptual practices of power: A feminist sociology of knowledge*. Northeastern University Press.
- Sprague, J. (2016). *Feminist methodologies for critical researchers: bridging differences*. Rowman & Littlefield.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

- Sprague, J., & Zimmerman, M. K. (1989). Quality and quantity: Reconstructing feminist methodology. *American Sociologist*, 20(1), 71-86.
- Stacey, J. (1988). Can there be a feminist ethnography? *Women's Studies International Forum*, 11(1), 21-27.
- St.Pierre, E. A. (2000). Poststructural feminism in education: An overview. *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 13(5), 477-515
- St.Pierre, E. A. & Pillow, W. S. (Eds.). (2000). *Working the ruins Feminist poststructural theory and methods in education*. Routledge.
- Strathern, M. (1987). An awkward relationship: The case of feminism and anthropology. *Signs*, 12(2), 276-292.
- Stanley, L., & Wise, S. (1990). Method, methodology and epistemology in feminist research processes. In S. Liz (Ed.), *Feminist praxis: Research, theory and epistemology in feminist sociology* (pp. 20-60). Routledge.
- Taylor, B. (2013). Feminisms. In, *Qualitative research in the health sciences: Methodologies, methods and processes* (pp. 134-152). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Terry, J. (1991). Theorizing deviant historiography. *Differences: A Journal of Feminist Cultural Studies*, 3(2), 55-74.
- Trinh, M. T. 1989). *Women, native, other: Writing postcoloniality and feminism*. Indiana University Press.
- Whitson, R. (2017). Painting Pictures of Ourselves: Researcher Subjectivity in the Practice of Feminist Reflexivity. *Professional Geographer*, 69(2), 299-306.
doi:10.1080/00330124.2016.1208510
- Wolf, D. L. (Ed.). (1996). *Feminist dilemmas in fieldwork*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Woodiwiss, J., Smith, K., Lockwood, K., & Stanley, L. (Eds.). (2017). *Feminist narrative research: Opportunities and challenges*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wylie, A. (2003). Why standpoint matters. In R. Figueroa, & S. Harding (Eds.) *Science and other cultures* (pp. 26-48). Routledge.
- Yeste, C. G., Ferrada, D., & Ruiz, L. (2011). Other women in research: overcoming social inequalities and Improving scientific knowledge through the inclusion of all voices. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 17(3), 284-294.